

**City of Milpitas**  
Majority Vote

**I** Shall an ordinance that amends the Milpitas General Plan Land Use Element to extend until December 31, 2038 an Urban Grown Boundary near the base of the Milpitas foothills, that would limit development within Milpitas to the valley floor and the base of the foothills by prohibiting Milpitas from providing city services to new land use developments in the hillside area, be adopted?

Yes  
No

**City of Milpitas**  
Majority Vote

**J** Shall an ordinance, requiring until December 31, 2038, any amendments to the existing "Hillside Combining District" Ordinance and any amendments to the general plan land use designation for lands currently designated as "Hillside" property be approved by the voters before becoming effective, be adopted?

Yes  
No

**City of Milpitas**  
Majority Vote

**K** Shall an ordinance amending the City of Milpitas General Plan be adopted to mandate that any attempt to rezone parks, parklands or open space to residential, commercial or industrial, or any proposal for residential, commercial or industrial development in parks, parkland or open space, must be placed before Milpitas voters and secure two-thirds support in the City's next general election?

Yes  
No

**City of Milpitas**  
Majority Vote

**L** Shall Resolution No. 8532 authorizing an Exclusive Franchise Agreement with Waste Management, Inc. for Solid Waste Disposal Services, which was approved by the Milpitas City Council on March 15, 2016 but suspended by referendum petition on April 14, 2016, be adopted?

Yes  
No

## CITY OF MILPITAS

City Clerk's Office  
AUG 16 2016  
**RECEIVED**

**ARGUMENT**  
**DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR PROPONENT(S)**  
(Elections Code § 9161, 9164, 9501, 9501.5, 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of ~~against~~ ballot measure 1 at the  
(circle one) (letter)

Presidential General election for the City of Milpitas  
(title of election) (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best  
(date of election)

of their knowledge and belief.  
(his / her / their)

1. Carmen Montano 8/16/2016  
Signature Date  
Vice Mayor  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

369 Summerfield Dr., Milpitas CA 95035  
Residential Address  
Carmen Montano M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☒ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

2. Marsha Grilli 8/15/2016  
Signature Date  
Councilmember  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

455 E. Calaveras Blvd., Milpitas CA 95035  
Residential Address  
Marsha Grilli M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☒ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

3. \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Date  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_  
Residential Address  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

4. \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Date  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_  
Residential Address  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

5. \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature Date  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_  
Residential Address  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

\* VIP = Voter Information Pamphlet

**Contact Person**  
Marsha Grilli

**Telephone #**  
408-586.3031

**E-mail**  
mgrilli@ci.milpitas.ca.gov

## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE I

A Yes vote on Measure I, the Milpitas Urban Growth Boundary, will continue to protect the hillsides and allow Milpitas citizens to determine the future on hillside development.

In 1998, Milpitas citizens voted to adopt the Milpitas Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) limiting urban expansion into the hills. A Yes vote will continue the UGB and protect the hillsides in two ways: (1) it will place another 20-year freeze on annexation of Santa Clara County lands into the City, and (2) it will maintain a line that designates the limits of urban growth into the hills by restricting extension of City services beyond that Boundary.

Without the UGB, Milpitas residents must subsidize costly City services required by hillside development such as police and fire protection, streets, sewer lines, storm drains, and waterlines as well as their ongoing repairs and maintenance.

A Yes vote will continue to protect Milpitas hillsides from overdevelopment for another 20 years and avoid costly taxpayer funding to improve and replace water lines, sewer lines and roads estimated in the millions of dollars. There are three verified earthquake faults in the hillsides making any future development and the infrastructure to support that development prone to destruction should an earthquake occur. Rebuilding of this infrastructure as well as providing additional police and fire services will add to the tax payer burden.

Adopting the UGB won't take the property rights of hillside landowners. They can still develop at very low densities balancing their property rights with the rights of Milpitas citizens to protect the hillsides and maintain our quality of life.

The Urban Growth Boundary costs Milpitas taxpayers absolutely nothing and prevents further subsidies. Vote Yes on Measure I!

*City Clerk's Office*

AUG 16 2016

**RECEIVED**

## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE I

(28) - A Yes vote on Measure-I, the Milpitas Urban Growth Boundary, will continue to protect the hillsides and allow Milpitas citizens to determine the future on hillside development. (15)

(57) - In 1998, Milpitas citizens voted to adopt the Milpitas Urban Growth Boundary (UGB) limiting urban expansion into the hills. A Yes vote will continue the UGB and protect the hillsides in two ways: (1) it will place another 20-year freeze on annexation of Santa Clara County lands into the City, and (2) it will maintain a line that designates the limits of urban growth into the hills by restricting extension of City services beyond that Boundary. (41) (72) (102)

(114) - Without the UGB, Milpitas residents must subsidize costly City services required by hillside development such as police and fire protection, streets, sewer lines, storm drains, and waterlines as well as their ongoing repairs and maintenance. (128)

(137) - A Yes vote will continue to protect Milpitas hillsides from overdevelopment for another 20 years and avoid costly taxpayer funding to improve and replace water lines, sewer lines and roads estimated in the millions of dollars. There are three verified earthquake faults in the hillsides making any future development and the infrastructure to support that development prone to destruction should an earthquake occur. Rebuilding of this infrastructure as well as providing additional police and fire services will add to the tax payer burden. (51) (181) (201)

(228) - Adopting the UGB won't take the property rights of hillside landowners. They can still develop at very low densities balancing their property rights with the rights of Milpitas citizens to protect the hillsides and maintain our quality of life. (234) (259)

(277) - The Urban Growth Boundary costs Milpitas taxpayers absolutely nothing and prevents further subsidies. Vote Yes on Measure II! (270)

277

City Clerk's Office

AUG 16 2016

RECEIVED

## CITY OF MILPITAS

**ARGUMENT**  
**DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR PROPONENT(S)**  
 (Elections Code § 9161, 9164, 9501, 9501.5, 9600)

City Clerk's Office

AUG 15 2016

**RECEIVED**

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of against ballot measure I at the  
 (circle one) (letter)

GENERAL ELECTION election for the CITY OF MILPITAS  
 (title of election) (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on NOVEMBER 8th hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best  
 (date of election)

of his knowledge and belief.  
 (his / her / their)

1. Ruth 8/15/2016  
 Signature Date  
RESIDENT, RETIRED  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

1673 QUAIL DR., MILPITAS  
 Residential Address  
RICHARD RUTH M/F  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

2. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature Date  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Residential Address  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M/F

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

3. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature Date  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Residential Address  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M/F

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

4. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature Date  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Residential Address  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M/F

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

5. \_\_\_\_\_  
 Signature Date  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

\_\_\_\_\_  
 Residential Address  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M/F

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

\* VIP = Voter Information Pamphlet

Contact Person

RICHARD RUTH

Telephone #

(408) 806-7991

E-mail

rruth8592@aol.com

## ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE I HILLSIDE ORDINANCE

Zoning laws have been in the judicial system for over 100 years. Throughout zoning history, amendments, clarifications and rewrites have been made because of issues such as undue hardship, practical difficulties, special conditions, spirit of the law, service to public interest. Even variances are allowed to permit more profitable use, effect of adjacent uses, effect of size, shape and grade of a lot or the existence of natural resources unusable because of zoning restrictions or changes when the zoning law is ambiguous. For most of those 100 years applicants have been able to work with professional zoning and planning public officials to change or modify a zoning law directly.

This is helpful because the effects of any change can be balanced against public interest and is not costly to the city or the applicant.

But what this ordinance does is drive the cost of modification up substantially and takes the outcome out of the hands of the professional. The applicant now has to gather signatures and form a proposal for a ballot measure then the city has to schedule the ballot election and pay the election cost, which in Milpitas can be upwards of \$100,000. It takes away from the applicant any opportunity to get relief by putting the solution to a zoning problem in the hands of a non-professional public determination. In the event of a negative result at the ballot box the applicant loses valuable time and money and is obliged to try again.

It is not a fair and equitable to modify a zoning law for a hillside property denying city services that no other property owner in Milpitas is subject to therefore a "NO" vote is required

If this ordinance is approved by the voters it will be effective for 22 years.

Richard Ruth  
Resident, retired

## ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE I HILLSIDE ORDINANCE

Zoning laws have been in the judicial system for over 100 years. Throughout zoning history, amendments, clarifications and rewrites have been made because of issues such as undue hardship, practical difficulties, special conditions, spirit of the law, service to public interest. Even variances are allowed to permit more profitable use, effect of adjacent uses, effect of size, shape and grade of a lot or the existence of natural resources unusable because of zoning restrictions or changes when the zoning law is ambiguous. For most of those 100 years applicants have been able to work with professional zoning and planning public officials to change or modify a zoning law directly.

This is helpful because the effects of any change can be balanced against public interest and is not costly to the city or the applicant.

But what this ordinance does is drive the cost of modification up substantially and takes the outcome out of the hands of the professional. The applicant now has to gather signatures and form a proposal for a ballot measure then the city has to schedule the ballot election and pay the election cost, which in Milpitas can be upwards of \$100,000. It takes away from the applicant any opportunity to get relief by putting the solution to a zoning problem in the hands of a non-professional public determination. In the event of a negative result at the ballot box the applicant loses valuable time and money and is obliged to try again.

It is not a fair and equitable to modify a zoning law for a hillside property denying city services that no other property owner in Milpitas is subject to therefore a "NO" vote is required

If this ordinance is approved by the voters it will be effective for 22 years.

Richard Ruth  
Resident, retired

## CITY OF MILPITAS

City Clerk's Office

AUG 15 2016

**ARGUMENT**  
**DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR PROPONENT(S)**  
 (Elections Code § 9161, 9164, 9501, 9501.5, 9600)

**RECEIVED**

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of ~~against~~ ballot measure J at the  
 (circle one) (letter)

Presidential General election for the City of Milpitas

(title of election)

(name of jurisdiction)

to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best

(date of election)

of their knowledge and belief.

(his / her / their)

1.

Signature

Date

Councilmember

Type Title to Appear on Argument

1840 Edsel Dr., Milpitas CA 95035

Residential Address

Garry BarbadilloPrint Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M F

Author meets criteria of: ☒ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

2.

Signature

Date

Marsha Grilli Councilmember

Type Title to Appear on Argument

455 E. Calaveras Blvd., Milpitas CA 95035

Residential Address

Marsha GrilliPrint Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M F

Author meets criteria of: ☒ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

3.

Signature

Date

Type Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address

Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M F

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

4.

Signature

Date

Type Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address

Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M F

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

5.

Signature

Date

Type Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address

Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\* M F

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

\* VIP = Voter Information Pamphlet

**Contact Person****Telephone #****E-mail**

Garry Barbadillo

408-772.1784

garrybarbadillo@gmail.com



## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE J

A Yes vote on Measure J continues to give Milpitas voters a stronger say in the future of hillside development. The Hillside Combining District Ordinance (Hillside Ordinance) was adopted in 1992 "...to promote the orderly development of the hillside area of the City by application of regulations and requirements established to meet particular problems associated with development of hillside areas, including geologic problems, safe access, and visibility." The Hillside Ordinance resulted from a 2004 City Council appointed a Hillside Review committee comprised of property owners, citizens, developers, planning commissioners.

In 1998, Milpitas voters approved the Urban Growth Boundary prohibiting the extension of city services into the hillsides beyond a defined boundary line. Development within that boundary is governed by the Hillside Ordinance. A Yes vote continues hillside protection requiring any change to the Hillside Ordinance to be adopted by a vote of the people.

Our hillsides area valuable natural resource for the entire community, but they are also unstable with multiple earthquake faults and landslides. The cost for city services to hillside homes far exceeds the cost of providing these services to residents on the valley floor. Hillside development must continue to be governed by the Hillside Ordinance, subject to the will of Milpitas residents.

This measure gives the people of Milpitas the "final say" on amendments and modifications to the Hillside Ordinance and General Plan land use designations for hillside property for another 20 years.

This ballot measure costs Milpitas taxpayers absolutely nothing. It gives you, the voter, the right to make changes. Vote Yes on Measure J.

City Clerk's Office  
AUG 15 2016  
**RECEIVED**

## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE J

(21) A Yes vote on Measure J continues to give Milpitas voters a stronger say in the future of hillside development. The Hillside Combining District Ordinance (Hillside Ordinance) was adopted in 1992 "...to promote the orderly development of the hillside area of the City by application of regulations and requirements established to meet particular problems associated with development of hillside areas, including geologic problems, safe access, and visibility." (53) The Hillside Ordinance resulted from a 2004 City Council appointed a Hillside Review committee comprised of property owners, citizens, developers, planning commissioners. (67) (89)

(101) In 1998, Milpitas voters approved the Urban Growth Boundary prohibiting the extension of city services into the hillsides beyond a defined boundary line. Development within that boundary is governed by the Hillside Ordinance. A Yes vote continues hillside protection requiring any change to the Hillside Ordinance to be adopted by a vote of the people. (121) (115) (147)

(157) Our hillsides area valuable natural resource for the entire community, but they are also unstable with multiple earthquake faults and landslides. The cost for city services to hillside homes far exceeds the cost of providing these services to residents on the valley floor. Hillside development must continue to be governed by the Hillside Ordinance, subject to the will of Milpitas residents. (173) (203) (205) (215)

(22) This measure gives the people of Milpitas the "final say" on amendments and modifications to the Hillside Ordinance and General Plan land use designations for hillside property for another 20 years. (246)

This ballot measure costs Milpitas taxpayers absolutely nothing. It gives you, the voter, the right to make changes. Vote Yes on Measure J. (259) (279)

City Clerk's Office  
AUG 15 2016  
RECEIVED

CITY OF MILPITAS

ARGUMENT  
DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR PROPONENT(S)  
(Elections Code § 9161, 9164, 9501, 9501.5, 9600)

City Clerk's Office

AUG 15 2016

RECEIVED

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of against ballot measure J at the  
(circle one) (letter)

GENERAL election for the CITY OF MILPITAS  
(title of election) (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on NOVEMBER 8<sup>th</sup> hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best  
(date of election)

of his knowledge and belief.  
(his / her / their)

1. Ruth 8/15/2016  
Signature Date  
RESIDENT, RETIRED  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

1673 QUAIL DR, MILPITAS  
Residential Address  
RICHARD RUTH M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

2.   
Signature Date  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address  
M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

3.   
Signature Date  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address  
M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

4.   
Signature Date  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address  
M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

5.   
Signature Date  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

Residential Address  
M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☐ Reg. Voter in Dist.

\* VIP = Voter Information Pamphlet

Contact Person

Telephone #

E-mail

RICHARD RUTH

(408) 806-7991

rruth8592@aol.com

## ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE J HILLSIDE ORDINANCE

Zoning laws have been in the judicial system for over 100 years. Throughout zoning history, amendments, clarifications and rewrites have been made because of issues such as undue hardship, practical difficulties, special conditions, spirit of the law, service to public interest. Even variances are allowed to permit more profitable use, effect of adjacent uses, effect of size, shape and grade of a lot or the existence of natural resources unusable because of zoning restrictions or changes when the zoning law is ambiguous. For most of those 100 years applicants have been able to work with professional zoning and planning public officials to change or modify a zoning law directly.

This is helpful because the effects of any change can be balanced against public interest and is not costly to the city or the applicant.

But what this ordinance does is drive the cost of modification up substantially and takes the outcome out of the hands of the professional. The applicant now has to gather signatures and form a proposal for a ballot measure then the city has to schedule the ballot election and pay the election cost, which in Milpitas can be upwards of \$100,000. It takes away from the applicant any opportunity to get relief by putting the solution to a zoning problem in the hands of a non-professional public determination. In the event of a negative result at the ballot box the applicant loses valuable time and money and is obliged to try again.

It is not a fair and equitable means to modify a zoning law for a hillside property that no other property owner in Milpitas is subject to therefore a "NO" vote is required

If this ordinance is approved by the voters it will be effective for 22 years.

Richard Ruth  
Resident, retired

## ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE J HILLSIDE ORDINANCE

Zoning laws have been in the judicial system for over 100 years. Throughout zoning history, amendments, clarifications and rewrites have been made because of issues such as undue hardship, practical difficulties, special conditions, spirit of the law, service to public interest. Even variances are allowed to permit more profitable use, effect of adjacent uses, effect of size, shape and grade of a lot or the existence of natural resources unusable because of zoning restrictions or changes when the zoning law is ambiguous. For most of those 100 years applicants have been able to work with professional zoning and planning public officials to change or modify a zoning law directly.

This is helpful because the effects of any change can be balanced against public interest and is not costly to the city or the applicant.

But what this ordinance does is drive the cost of modification up substantially and takes the outcome out of the hands of the professional. The applicant now has to gather signatures and form a proposal for a ballot measure then the city has to schedule the ballot election and pay the election cost, which in Milpitas can be upwards of \$100,000. It takes away from the applicant any opportunity to get relief by putting the solution to a zoning problem in the hands of a non-professional public determination. In the event of a negative result at the ballot box the applicant loses valuable time and money and is obliged to try again. — (246)

(243) — It is not a fair and equitable means to modify a zoning law for a hillside property that no other property owner in Milpitas is subject to therefore a "NO" vote is required (245)

If this ordinance is approved by the voters it will be effective for 22 years. (247)

Richard Ruth  
Resident, retired

(291)

## CITY OF MILPITAS

City Clerk's Office

AUG 12 2016

**ARGUMENT**  
**DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR PROPONENT(S)**  
 (Elections Code § 9161, 9164, 9501, 9501.5, 9600)

**RECEIVED**

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of against ballot measure K at the

PRESIDENTIAL

(circle one)

(letter)

GENERALelection for the CITY OF MILPITAS

(title of election)

(name of jurisdiction)

to be held on NOVEMBER 8, 2016 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best

(date of election)

of \_\_\_\_\_ knowledge and belief.

(his / her / their)

1. [Signature] 8/10/16  
 Signature Date  
Council member  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

1916 GRAND Teton DR,  
MILPITAS, CA 95035  
 Residential Address  
DEBBIE INDIHAR GIORDANO (M) F  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☒ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

2. [Signature] 8/11/16  
 Signature Date  
Council member  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

1870 EDSEL DR., MILPITAS, CA 95035  
 Residential Address  
GARRY BARBADILLO (M) F  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☒ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

3. [Signature] 8/11/16  
 Signature Date  
Lead Organizer - Protect Our Park!  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

542 Walnut Dr, Milpitas  
 Residential Address  
Steve Munzel M / F  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☒ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

4. [Signature] 08/10/16  
 Signature Date  
Chair PARKS Commission  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

238 IMAGES CIR, MILPITAS  
 Residential Address  
ROHIT SHARMA (M) F  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☒ Gov. Bd. Member ☐ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

5. [Signature] 8/11/16  
 Signature Date  
MILPITAS HISTORICAL SOCIETY -  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument DIRECTOR

1620 Crater Lake Ave, Milpitas  
 Residential Address  
Harriett W. McGuire M / F  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: ☐ Gov. Bd. Member ☒ Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. ☒ Reg. Voter in Dist.

\* VIP = Voter Information Pamphlet

Contact Person

Telephone #

E-mail

Debbie Indihar Giordano(408) 945-8988GIORDANO@AOL.COM

Vote "Yes" on Measure K

Measure K gives the people of Milpitas the right to decide for Milpitas Parks and Open Spaces. "Yes" on Measure K puts that decision to the people.

This ballot measure subjects any change in General Plan land use designation from Parks and Open Space to Commercial, Industrial or Residential to the voter's approval.

The people will have the right to decide what is best for the city.

Measure K will ensure that any attempt to change Milpitas Parks or Open space into residential, commercial, or industrial uses first must be approved by the people of Milpitas with a two-thirds (66.7%) vote before a change in use can take place.

Milpitas has a long tradition of protecting its parks and open spaces. We understand that these vital public areas are an important element in the high quality of life we of Milpitas enjoy.

Milpitas residents of all ages deserve to have our parks and public open spaces preserved in perpetuity.

Measure K will protect Milpitas parks and open spaces.

Vote "Yes" to protect Milpitas Parks.

Vote "Yes" to protect Open Spaces.

Vote "Yes" on Measure K.

City Clerk's Office  
AUG 12 2016  
**RECEIVED**

Vote "Yes" on Measure K

(27) - Measure K gives the people of Milpitas the right to decide for Milpitas Parks and Open Spaces. "Yes" on Measure K puts that decision to the people. (16)

(53) - This ballot measure subjects any change in General Plan land use designation from Parks and Open Space to Commercial, Industrial or Residential to the voter's approval. (41)

The people will have the right to decide what is best for the city. (67)

(82) - Measure K will ensure that any attempt to change Milpitas Parks or Open space into residential, commercial, or industrial uses first must be approved by the people of Milpitas with a two-thirds (66.7%) vote before a change in use can take place. (98)

(109) - Milpitas has a long tradition of protecting its parks and open spaces. We understand that these vital public areas are an important element in the high quality of life we of Milpitas enjoy. (124)

(142) - Milpitas residents of all ages deserve to have our parks and public open spaces preserved in perpetuity. (153)

Measure K will protect Milpitas parks and open spaces. (168)

(174) - Vote "Yes" to protect Milpitas Parks.

Vote "Yes" to protect Open Spaces. (182)

Vote "Yes" on Measure K. (195)

185

City Clerk's Office

AUG 12 2016

RECEIVED



CITY OF MILPITAS

ARGUMENT  
DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR PROPONENT(S)  
(Elections Code § 9161, 9164, 9501, 9501.5, 9600)

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of against ballot measure K at the City Clerk's Office  
(circle one) (letter)

GENERAL election for the CITY OF MILPITAS AUG 15 2016  
(title of election) (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on NOVEMBER 8<sup>th</sup> hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best  
(date of election)

of his knowledge and belief.  
(his / her / their)

1. Ruth  
Signature Date  
RESIDENT, RETIRED  
Type Title to Appear on Argument

1673 QUAIL DR, MILPITAS  
Residential Address  
RICHARD RUTH M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of:     Gov. Bd. Member     Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. X Reg. Voter in Dist.

2.      
Signature Date  
     
Type Title to Appear on Argument

     
Residential Address  
    M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of:     Gov. Bd. Member     Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc.     Reg. Voter in Dist.

3.      
Signature Date  
     
Type Title to Appear on Argument

     
Residential Address  
    M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of:     Gov. Bd. Member     Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc.     Reg. Voter in Dist.

4.      
Signature Date  
     
Type Title to Appear on Argument

     
Residential Address  
    M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of:     Gov. Bd. Member     Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc.     Reg. Voter in Dist.

5.      
Signature Date  
     
Type Title to Appear on Argument

     
Residential Address  
    M/F  
Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of:     Gov. Bd. Member     Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc.     Reg. Voter in Dist.

\* VIP = Voter Information Pamphlet

Contact Person Telephone # E-mail  
RICHARD RUTH (408) 806-7991 rruth8592@aol.com

## ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE K ZONING ORDINANCE

Zoning laws have been in the judicial system for over 100 years. Throughout zoning history, amendments, clarifications and rewrites have been made because of issues such as undue hardship, practical difficulties, special conditions, spirit of the law, service to public interest. Even variances are allowed to permit more profitable use, effect of adjacent uses, effect of size, shape and grade of a lot or the existence of natural resources unusable because of zoning restrictions or changes when the zoning law is ambiguous. For most of those 100 years applicants have been able to work with professional zoning and planning public officials to change or modify a zoning law directly.

This is helpful because the effects of any change can be balanced against public interest and is not costly to the city or the applicant.

But what this ordinance does is drive the cost of modification up substantially and takes the outcome out of the hands of the professionals. The applicant now has to gather signatures and form a proposal for a ballot measure then the city has to schedule the ballot election and pay the election cost, which in Milpitas can be upwards of \$100,000. It takes away from the applicant any opportunity to get relief by putting the solution to a zoning problem in the hands of a non-professional public determination. In the event of a negative result at the ballot box the applicant loses valuable time and money and is obliged to try again.

It is not a fair and equitable to rezone properties requiring approval by a two-thirds support in the next general election therefore a "NO" vote is required.

If this ordinance is approved by the voters it will be effective for 22 years.

Richard Ruth  
Resident, retired

## ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE K ZONING ORDINANCE

Zoning laws have been in the judicial system for over 100 years. Throughout zoning history, amendments, clarifications and rewrites have been made because of issues such as undue hardship, practical difficulties, special conditions, spirit of the law, service to public interest. Even variances are allowed to permit more profitable use, effect of adjacent uses, effect of size, shape and grade of a lot or the existence of natural resources unusable because of zoning restrictions or changes when the zoning law is ambiguous. For most of those 100 years applicants have been able to work with professional zoning and planning public officials to change or modify a zoning law directly. This is helpful because the effects of any change can be balanced against public interest and is not costly to the city or the applicant.

But what this ordinance does is drive the cost of modification up substantially and takes the outcome out of the hands of the professionals. The applicant now has to gather signatures and form a proposal for a ballot measure then the city has to schedule the ballot election and pay the election cost, which in Milpitas can be upwards of \$100,000.

It takes away from the applicant any opportunity to get relief by putting the solution to a zoning problem in the hands of a non-professional public determination. In the event of a negative result at the ballot box the applicant loses valuable time and money and is obliged to try again.

It is not a fair and equitable to rezone properties requiring approval by a two-thirds support in the next general election therefore a "NO" vote is required.

If this ordinance is approved by the voters it will be effective for 22 years.

Richard Ruth  
Resident, retired

2K

## CITY OF MILPITAS

**ARGUMENT**  
**DECLARATION BY AUTHOR(S) OR PROPONENT(S)**  
 (Elections Code § 9161, 9164, 9501, 9501.5, 9600)

City Clerk's Office  
 AUG 18 2016 *pc*  
**RECEIVED**

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of against ballot measure L at the  
 (circle one) (letter)

General election for the City of Milpitas  
 (title of election) (name of jurisdiction)

to be held on Nov. 8, 2016 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best  
 (date of election)

of their knowledge and belief.  
 (his / her / their)

1.

Rondi Jackson 8/15/16  
 Signature Date  
Milpitas Resident  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

280 Boyd Street Milpitas, CA 95035  
 Residential Address  
Rondi Jackson *M/F*  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: Gov. Bd. Member Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. X Reg. Voter in Dist.

2.

Thomas J. Valore 8/15/16  
 Signature Date  
Milpitas Resident  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

670 Card St Milpitas, CA 95035  
 Residential Address  
Thomas J. Valore *M/F*  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: Gov. Bd. Member Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. X Reg. Voter in Dist.

3.

William D. Weisgerber 8/15/16  
 Signature Date  
Former Milpitas Mayor  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

William D. Weisgerber  
 Residential Address 1900 Custer St.  
William D. "Denny" Weisgerber *M/F*  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: Gov. Bd. Member Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. X Reg. Voter in Dist.

4.

Armando Gomez 8/15/16  
 Signature Date  
Former Milpitas Councilmember  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

1487 Yosemite Dr.  
 Residential Address  
Armando Gomez *M/F*  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: Gov. Bd. Member Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. X Reg. Voter in Dist.

5.

Donna Lacanlale 8/15/16  
 Signature Date  
Milpitas Resident  
 Type Title to Appear on Argument

1523 Mt Diablo Ave  
 Residential Address  
Donna Lacanlale *M/F*  
 Print Name as Signature will appear in VIP\*

Author meets criteria of: Gov. Bd. Member Principal Officer of Bona Fide Assoc. X Reg. Voter in Dist.

\* VIP = Voter Information Pamphlet

Contact Person

Jeanne Serpa

Telephone #

408-586-2267

E-mail

jsarpa@republicservices.com

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE L  
NOVEMBER 8, 2016, GENERAL ELECTION, CITY OF MILPITAS

City Clerk's Office  
AUG 1<sup>6</sup> 2016 *pe*  
RECEIVED

We urge you to vote No on Measure L. Opposing this measure is critical to keeping garbage and recycling rates low, maintaining your high quality service and protecting our environment. This new garbage agreement needs to be recognized for what it is – costly and flawed. Milpitas residents have already experienced water rate increases and reduced services. Enough is enough.

Measure L will lead to higher garbage rates. Due to the flawed bidding process, companies were prevented from offering Milpitas residents and businesses discounts for providing both collection and disposal services. Also the new contract means that trucks will have to travel over 40 additional miles to dispose of Milpitas' garbage. These transportation costs are estimated to cost Milpitas residents an additional \$10 million over the life of the contract.

Measure L will reduce the quality of services you receive. Milpitas enjoys an award winning, environmentally friendly, and low cost garbage and recycling service. There's no good reason to throw it all away.

Measure L will impact traffic and our environment. This contract will lead to more traffic on already congested roadways and through residential neighborhoods. Think traffic is bad now? Wait until over 50,000 tons of garbage is added annually onto your commute. More trucks traveling longer distances will also increase greenhouse gas emissions.

Opposing this measure is critical to ensuring that Milpitas garbage rates remain low and Milpitas residents and businesses continue to receive high quality environmentally responsible services.

Don't be the victim of another bad bureaucratic decision. Join us in voting No on Measure L.

*Rondi Jackson*  
Rondi Jackson  
Milpitas Resident

*Armando Gomez*  
Armando Gomez  
Former Milpitas Councilmember

*Thomas J. Valore*  
Thomas J. Valore  
Milpitas Resident

*Donna Lacanlale*  
Donna Lacanlale  
Milpitas Resident

*William D. "Denny" Weisgerber*  
William D. "Denny" Weisgerber  
Former Milpitas Mayor

ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE L  
NOVEMBER 8, 2016, GENERAL ELECTION, CITY OF MILPITAS

City Clerk's Office

AUG 12 2016

RECEIVED

(21) - We urge you to vote No on Measure L. Opposing this measure is critical to keeping garbage and recycling rates low, maintaining your high quality service and protecting our environment. (30)  
(42) - This new garbage agreement needs to be recognized for what it is - costly and flawed. Milpitas residents have already experienced water rate increases and reduced services. Enough is enough. (51)  
(59) -

Measure L will lead to higher garbage rates. Due to the (70)  
(78) - flawed bidding process, companies were prevented from offering Milpitas residents and businesses discounts for providing both (86)  
(96) - collection and disposal services. Also the new contract means that trucks will have to travel over 40 additional miles to dispose of (108)  
(117) - Milpitas' garbage. These transportation costs are estimated to cost Milpitas residents an additional \$10 million over the life of the contract. (128)  
(129) -

Measure L will reduce the quality of services you receive. (139)  
(148) - Milpitas enjoys an award winning, environmentally friendly, and low cost garbage and recycling service. There's no good reason to throw it all away. (162)  
(162) -

Measure L will impact traffic and our environment. This (171)  
(182) - contract will lead to more traffic on already congested roadways and through residential neighborhoods. Think traffic is bad now? Wait (191)  
(202) - until over 50,000 tons of garbage is added annually onto your commute. More trucks traveling longer distances will also increase greenhouse gas emissions. (211)  
(214) -

Opposing this measure is critical to ensuring that Milpitas (223)  
(232) - garbage rates remain low and Milpitas residents and businesses continue to receive high quality environmentally responsible services. (240)  
(250) -

Don't be the victim of another bad bureaucratic decision. Join (257)  
(257) - us in voting No on Measure L. (257)

Rondi Jackson  
Rondi Jackson  
Milpitas Resident

Armando Gomez  
Armando Gomez  
Former Milpitas Councilmember

Thomas J. Valore  
Thomas J. Valore  
Milpitas Resident

Donna Lacanlale  
Donna Lacanlale  
Milpitas Resident

William D. "Denny" Weisgerber  
William D. "Denny" Weisgerber  
Former Milpitas Mayor

257

## City Clerk's Office


AUG 16 2016 *pc*

RECEIVED

The undersigned author(s) of the argument in favor of ~~against~~ ballot measure L at the  
(circle one) (letter)

**E-mail**  
estevesj@aol.com

ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE L

AUG 1 6 2016 

**RECEIVED**

A Yes vote will uphold the City Council's decision to select and contract with Waste Management Inc. for waste disposal services and no longer use Newby Island landfill. A Yes vote will also reduce the amount paid for garbage disposal and ensure access to long term competitive disposal rates.

After more than thirty years of contracting with Republic/Allied Waste Services for garbage disposal at Newby Island Landfill, the City of Milpitas conducted an open, competitive, fair and transparent bid process. Republic/Allied Waste Services did not submit a bid for disposal services and Waste Management Inc. was selected as the garbage disposal vendor for the City commencing in September, 2017.

Vote Yes on Measure L to protect your property rights and quality of life upholding the decision of the City Council. Republic/Allied Waste Services wants you to overturn the decision of the City Council to contract with Waste Management Inc. Don't be fooled by big corporate money.

You will still have the same service such as dump days near a transfer station in Fremont and all collection proposals under current City consideration offer nearby material drop-off options.

Vote Yes on Measure L to protect your property and quality of life. Since Republic/Allied Waste Services did not submit a bid for disposal they will not continue as the City's garbage disposal vendor, and they want you to overturn the decision of the City Council. Don't be fooled again. Vote Yes on Measure L and uphold the City Council's decision.



## ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE L

**RECEIVED**

A Yes vote will uphold the City Council's decision to select and contract with Waste Management Inc. for waste disposal services and no longer use Newby Island landfill. A Yes vote will also reduce the amount paid for garbage disposal and ensure access to long term competitive disposal rates.

After more than thirty years of contracting with Republic/Allied Waste Services for garbage disposal at Newby Island Landfill, the City of Milpitas conducted an open, competitive, fair and transparent bid process. Republic/Allied Waste Services did not submit a bid for disposal services and Waste Management Inc. was selected as the garbage disposal vendor for the City commencing in September, 2017.

Vote Yes on Measure L to protect your property rights and quality of life upholding the decision of the City Council. Republic/Allied Waste Services wants you to overturn the decision of the City Council to contract with Waste Management Inc. Don't be fooled by big corporate money.

You will still have the same service such as dump days near a transfer station in Fremont and all collection proposals under current City consideration offer nearby material drop-off options.

Vote Yes on Measure L to protect your property and quality of life. Since Republic/Allied Waste Services did not submit a bid for disposal they will not continue as the City's garbage disposal vendor, and they want you to overturn the decision of the City Council. Don't be fooled again. Vote Yes on Measure L and uphold the City Council's decision.

2119